## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PATRICE KANTZ, : CIVIL ACTION

vs. : NO. 20-531

AT&T, INC., et al.

## ORDER

**AND NOW, TO WIT:** This 28th day of November, 2023, it having been reported that the issues between the parties in the above action has been settled and upon Order of the Court pursuant to the provisions of Rule 41.1(b) of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure of this Court<sup>1</sup>, it is

**ORDERED** that the above action is **DISMISSED** with prejudice, pursuant to agreement of counsel without costs.

GEORGE WYLESOL. Clerk of Court

**BY:** /s/ Katie Rolon

Katie Rolon, Deputy Clerk To the Honorable Gerald J. Pappert

Copies E-mailed on 11/28/23 to:
Laura Carlin Mattiacci, Esq.
Daniel S. Orlow, Esq.
Stephen G. Console, Esq.
Susan M. Saint-Antoine, Esq.
Allison Talker, Esq.
Kaveh Dabashi, Esq.
Kenneth W. Gage, Esq.
Sara B. Tomezsko, Esq.
William J. Leahy, Esq.

Civ 2 (7/83)

41.1(b)

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Any such order of dismissal may be vacated, modified, or stricken from the record, for cause shown, upon the application of any party served within 90 days of the entry of such order of dismissal, provided the application of the 90 day time limitation is consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(c)."